

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
10 September 2004 (10.09.2004)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/077816 A2

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: H04N 1/407, 1/60 (74) Agent: MACCARONE, Gaetano, D.; Polaroid Corporation, 1265 Main Street, Waltham, MA 02451 (US).
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US2004/004964 (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (22) International Filing Date: 19 February 2004 (19.02.2004)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 10/375,440 27 February 2003 (27.02.2003) US
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): POLAROID CORPORATION [US/US]; 1265 Main Street, Waltham, MA 02451 (US).
- (72) Inventors: SAQUIB, Suhail, S.; 31 Trowbridge Lane, Shrewsbury, MA 01545 (US). THORNTON, Jay, E.; 56 Lincoln Street, Watertown, MA 02472 (US).
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: DIGITAL IMAGE EXPOSURE CORRECTION



(57) Abstract: Techniques are disclosed for correcting the exposure of a digital image. An exposure predictor may be generated based on a set of images for which ground truth data are known. After identifying an optimal set of features, the exposure of the digital image may be corrected by extracting values of the selected optimal features from the image, using the predictor to predict a desired exposure correction for the image, and correcting the exposure of the image by the predicted desired amount. Exposure correction is based on a model that relates intensity of light in the world to the RGB digits of the digital image. The model comprises a gamma function that models the response of a typical monitor and a S-shaped curve that compresses the large dynamic range of the world to the small dynamic range of the RGB digit space.

WO 2004/077816 A2



TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

Published:

— *without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report*

Digital Image Exposure Correction

BACKGROUND

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to digital image
5 processing and, more particularly, to correcting the
exposure of digital images.

Related Art

It is essential to properly expose a digital image
to obtain a good quality rendition of the original scene
10 on an output device such as a monitor or a printer. The
"exposure" of a digital image refers to the quantity of
light allowed to act on the image capture sensor;
exposure is a product of the intensity (controlled by
the aperture and intensity of the illuminant) and the
15 duration (controlled by the shutter speed) of light
striking the sensor. Large exposure values will result
in brighter images and vice versa. Relying on the
original exposure set by the input device (e.g., a
digital camera) usually does not yield the best quality
20 for several reasons. For example, a wide variety of
picture-taking conditions and scene compositions may
make the original exposure quite variable and differ
from the preferred exposure. Furthermore, input devices
typically have limited dynamic range and therefore err
25 on the side of under-exposing an image to avoid losing

information in an image due to clipping. Although underexposed images may appear darker than desired, they tend to retain more information than overexposed images and therefore are amenable to post-acquisition exposure correction to make them more suitable for printing or displaying on an output device.

It is desirable that output devices be equipped to produce properly-exposed renderings from images acquired using a variety of (possibly unknown) image acquisition devices. For example, a desktop digital photo printer or a photo-vending kiosk may be capable of receiving digital images acquired using any of a wide variety of digital cameras, scanners, or other input devices under a wide variety of conditions. It is desirable that such a printer or kiosk be capable of correcting the exposure of any images it receives so that such images may be printed with optimal exposures.

What is needed, therefore, are improved techniques for correcting the exposure of digital images.

20

SUMMARY

Techniques are disclosed for correcting the exposure of a digital image. An exposure predictor may be generated based on a set of images for which ground truth data are known. An optimal feature set may be identified that strikes a balance between minimizing prediction error and producing good results across a wide range of images. The exposure of an image may be corrected by extracting values of the selected optimal

features from the image, using the predictor to predict a desired exposure correction for the image, and correcting the exposure of the image by the predicted desired amount. To facilitate the exposure correction, we propose a model that relates intensity of light in the world to the RGB digits of the digital image. This model comprises a gamma function that models the response of a typical monitor and a S-shaped curve that allows us to compress the large dynamic range of the world to the small dynamic range of the RGB digit space. The exposure of the image may then be corrected by employing the inverse of this model to transform the image to logarithmic intensities in the world, adding or subtracting an offset (given by the desired exposure correction) from the image, and then mapping the image back to the RGB digit space using the above model. For example, in one aspect of the present invention, a method is provided for correcting the exposure of a source image. The method includes steps of: (A) transforming the source image from an image capture space into a nonlinear intensity space to produce a first transformed image; (B) correcting the exposure of the transformed image in the nonlinear intensity space to produce a corrected transformed image; and (C) transforming the corrected transformed image into the image capture space to produce a second transformed image. The step (C) may include steps of: (C)(1) transforming the corrected transformed image into a third transformed image using an S-shaped curve; and

(C) (2) transforming the third transformed image into the second transformed image using a gamma function.

If i represents an intensity in the nonlinear intensity space, the step (C) may include a step of transforming the corrected transformed image into the second transformed image using the formula:

$$T(i) = (A + B \tanh(-s(i + o)))^{1/\gamma},$$

the step (A) may transform gray level g in the source image by applying the function $T^{-1}(g)$ to the gray level to produce transformed intensities, and the step (B) may include a step of adding an exposure offset Δe to the transformed intensities to produce corrected transformed intensities.

In another aspect of the present invention, a method is provided for processing an image. The method includes steps of: (A) extracting from the image values of at least one feature selected from a set of features including: a thumbnail of the image, a luminance channel of the image, a region of interest in the image, and a subset of the image including a plurality of pixels satisfying an activity threshold; (B) predicting a desired exposure correction of the image based on the extracted feature values; and (C) correcting the exposure of the image by the predicted exposure correction to produce an exposure-corrected image. The set of features may include other features instead of or in addition to the features just listed.

The region of interest may have the following properties: (1) the average activity within the region

is above a predetermined minimum activity threshold; and
(2) the absolute logarithm of the ratio of the average
luminance of the region to the average luminance of that
portion of the image not including the region is the
5 highest such absolute logarithm for a predetermined
plurality of regions in the image. The region of
interest may have a base size that is proportional to
the dimensions of the image, and the dimensions of the
region of interest may be proportional to the base size
10 multiplied by a measure of average activity in the
image.

In another aspect of the present invention, a
method is provided for selecting a set of features for
use in a system for adjusting the exposure of images.
15 The method includes steps of: (A) placing a set of
features in a master feature set M ; (B) initializing a
current feature set C to a null value; (C) for each
feature F in the master set M , performing steps of: (1)
placing the union of the current feature set C and the
20 feature F in a temporary feature set S ; (2) computing a
leave- n -out error E for a plurality of images using set
 S as a feature set; (3) if the error E is less than a
minimum error E_{MIN} , assigning the value of E to E_{MIN} and
recording the identity of feature F in a variable F_{MIN} ;
25 (D) if E_{MIN} is less than a global error E_G , assigning the
value of E_{MIN} to E_G , adding the feature F recorded in F_{MIN}
to the set C , and deleting the feature F recorded in F_{MIN}
from the set M ; (E) if the set M is not empty, returning
to step (C); and (F) if the set M is empty or the value
30 of E_{MIN} is greater than the value of E_G , selecting the set

C as the set of features for use in the system for adjusting the exposure of images.

Other features and advantages of various aspects and embodiments of the present invention will become
5 apparent from the following description and from the claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A is a flowchart of a method for correcting the exposure of an image according to one embodiment of
10 the present invention;

FIG. 1B is a flowchart of a method for reducing an image according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 1C is a flowchart of a method for extracting
15 features from an image according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2A is a dataflow diagram illustrating operations performed by the method shown in FIG. 1A;

FIG. 2B is a dataflow diagram illustrating
20 operations performed by the method shown in FIG. 1B;

FIGS. 2C is a dataflow diagram illustrating the operations performed by the method shown in FIG. 1C;

FIG. 3 is a flowchart of a method for identifying a region of interest in an image according to one
25 embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 4 is a flowchart of a method for extracting features from an image according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a flowchart of a method for generating a predictor for predicting desired image exposures according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a dataflow diagram illustrating the
5 operations performed by the method shown in FIG. 5;

FIG. 7A is a flowchart of a method for generating ground truth data for a set of ground truth images according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 7B is a dataflow diagram illustrating the
10 operations performed by the method of FIG. 7A according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 7C is a dataflow diagram illustrating the generation of ground truth data for an image in a ground truth set of images according to one embodiment of the
15 present invention;

FIG. 7D is a dataflow diagram illustrating the computation of a prediction error for a test set image according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 7E is a dataflow diagram illustrating the
20 computation of an average prediction error for a plurality of images in a ground truth image set according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 8 is a flowchart of a method for computing the average prediction error for a plurality of images in a
25 ground truth image set according to one embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 9 is a flowchart of a method for selecting an optimal number and combination of features for use in exposure correction according to one embodiment of the
30 present invention;

FIG. 10 is a graph illustrating a family of exposure adjustment curves for use in exposure correction according to one embodiment of the present invention;

5 FIG. 11 is a flowchart of a method for applying an exposure correction to an image to produce an exposure-corrected image according to one embodiment of the present invention; and

10 FIG. 12 is a flowchart of a method in which color mapping and exposure correction are integrated according to one embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In general, the exposure correction algorithm disclosed herein may be divided into two parts. The
15 first part extracts, from the input image, the values of a set of features that contain the information that is most relevant to the exposure of the image. The second part finds a predictor that operates on the extracted features to generate a predicted exposure correction to
20 apply to the image. The predicted exposure correction is applied to the image to produce an exposure-corrected image. The predictor may, for example, be a linear predictor that is chosen so that the error between the predicted exposure and desired exposure of images is
25 minimized in a least square sense. Since the theory for generating the best linear predictor is well known in the statistical and signal processing arts, the disclosure herein will emphasize techniques both for

identifying good features that correlate very well with the desired exposure and for determining an optimal feature set that yields the best linear predictor given the ground truth data.

5 Referring to FIG. 1A, a flowchart is shown of a method 100 for correcting the exposure of an image according to one embodiment of the present invention. Referring to FIG. 2A, a dataflow diagram 200 is shown which illustrates the operations performed by the method
10 100 shown in FIG. 1A.

The method 100 operates on an input image 202 (FIG. 2A), which may be received from any of a variety of sources such as a digital camera or scanner. The input image 202 may be represented in any of a variety of
15 formats for representing digital images, such as the JPEG format.

The method 100 extracts from the image 202 the values 208 of a set of selected features 218 (step 106). The selected features 218 may, for example, be
20 identifiers or other descriptors which identify the particular features to be extracted in step 106. Examples of features that may be extracted in step 106, and examples of techniques for extracting them, will be described below with respect to FIG. 1B, FIG. 1C, FIG.
25 2C, FIG. 3, and FIG. 4. Techniques that may be used to select the set of features 218 will be described below with respect to FIG. 9.

The method 100 generates a predictor 216 based on ground truth data 210 for a set of ground truth images
30 (step 108). Note that step 108 need not be performed

each time the method 100 is performed. Rather, the predictor 216 may be generated once, prior to execution of the method 100. The generated predictor 216 may then be used each time the method 100 is performed, without
5 the need to perform step 108. Techniques that may be used to generate the predictor 216 will be described below with respect to FIGS. 5-9. The method 100 uses the predictor 216 to generate a predicted exposure offset 212 based on the extracted feature values 208
10 (step 110).

The method 100 corrects the exposure of the input image 202 by shifting the exposure of the input image 202 by the predicted exposure offset 212, thereby producing an exposure-corrected image 214 (step 112).
15 Techniques that may be used to perform the exposure correction will be described below with respect to FIG. 11.

Various techniques may optionally be applied to improve the contrast of the image 202. For example, the
20 range of intensities in the image 202 may be stretched to cover the range of available intensities (e.g., [0,255]) as follows. The red channel R of the input image 202 may be linearized, thereby producing a linearized red channel R_L , using the formula $R_L = (R/255)^{\gamma}$.
25 Linearized green and blue channels G_L and B_L may be produced similarly. Applying the gamma function to the RGB space image 202 transforms it to a linear intensity space that more closely reflects the original dynamic range of the image 202. The term "RGB space" as used
30 herein refers to any space in which an image may be

captured (referred to herein as an "image capture space").

A minimum intensity value mn and a maximum intensity value mx for the image 202 may be obtained using the following formulas: $mn = \min(R_L, G_L, B_L)$ and $mx = \max(R_L, G_L, B_L)$. The linearized red channel R_L may be stretched to produce a stretched linearized red channel R_L' using the formula $R_L' = (R_L - mn) / (mx - mn)$. Stretched linearized green and blue channels G_L' and B_L' may be produced similarly. Subsequent operations (such as feature extraction) described below may be performed on the channels R_L' , G_L' , and B_L' .

The channel R_L' may be transformed back into the channel R' using the formula $R = 255(R_L')^{1/\gamma}$. The channels G' and B' may be obtained from the channels G_L' and B_L' in a similar manner. In the following description, operations that are described as being performed on channels R_L , G_L , and B_L or R , G , and B may alternatively be performed on channels R_L' , G_L' , and B_L' or R' , G' , and B' .

The present invention may be used in conjunction with any individual features and with any combination of features. Examples of techniques that may be used to select an optimal set of features for extraction, from among an initial set of features, will be described below with respect to FIG. 9. Once a particular set of features is selected using such techniques, values 208 of the selected features 218 may be extracted from the image 202 (step 106). Particular examples of features that may be used in conjunction with embodiments of the

present invention, and techniques for extracting values of such features, will now be described.

In one embodiment of the present invention, the size of the input image 202 is reduced as a part of feature extraction. The input image 202 may be reduced because, in general, any particular image may carry a significant amount of information that is irrelevant to its desired exposure. For example, the chrominance channels of a color image are independent of the exposure of the image and therefore do not yield any information regarding the desired exposure. Such extraneous information may be discarded both to reduce the computational complexity of the exposure correction techniques described herein and to accurately estimate the coefficients 526 (FIG. 6) of the predictor 216, as described in more detail below. Failure to exclude such extraneous information may decrease the accuracy of coefficient estimation because, in practice, there is only a limited training image set for which the desired exposure (ground truth) is known. This tends to bias the estimation of the predictor coefficients 526 when the number of images in the training set 716 (FIG. 7D) cannot adequately support the number of selected features in the feature set 208. Determining the optimal number of features is an important and difficult problem, and will be described in more detail below with respect to FIG. 9.

Referring to FIG. 1B, a flowchart is shown of a method that may be used to reduce the image 202 according to one embodiment of the present invention.

Referring to FIG. 2B, a dataflow diagram 220 is shown which illustrates the operations performed by the method 102 shown in FIG. 1B. The method 102 generates a thumbnail 222 of the input image 202 (step 122). In
5 general, a thumbnail of an image is a reduced-dimension version of the image that is produced by some form of downsampling. Techniques for producing thumbnails are well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art.

In one embodiment of the present invention, it is
10 assumed that most of the exposure information in the input image 202 is contained in the luminance channel of the image 202. The method 102 therefore extracts a linear luminance channel 224 (step 124) and a non-linear luminance channel 226 (step 126) from the thumbnail 222
15 so that subsequent processing is performed only on the linear luminance channel 224 and the non-linear luminance channel 226. If, for example, the R, G, and B channels of the input image 202 have been linearized into channels R_L , G_L , and B_L , respectively, the linear
20 luminance channel 224 and non-linear luminance channel 226 may be produced as follows. The linearized luminance channel 224, represented by L_L , may be produced using the formula $L_L = aR_L + bG_L + cB_L$, where a , b , and c are constants. The non-linear luminance channel 226,
25 represented by L , may be produced from the linearized luminance channel 224 L_L by the following formula:
$$L = 255(L_L)^{1/\gamma}.$$

Referring to FIG. 1C, a flowchart is shown of a method that may be used to extract additional features
30 from the input image 202 according to one embodiment of

the present invention. Referring to FIG. 2C, a dataflow diagram 240 is shown which illustrates the operations performed by the method 140 shown in FIG. 1C. The input image 202 is reduced to produce the linear luminance channel 224 and the non-linear luminance channel 226 using the techniques described above with respect to FIGS. 1B and 2B (step 102).

A subset of the non-linear luminance channel 226 may be isolated to improve the performance of the predictor 216. A subset of the non-linear luminance channel 226, for example, rather than the entire non-linear luminance channel 226, may be used for exposure correction based on the observation that, in the typical case, all parts of an image do not equally influence our subjective judgment of the image's preferred exposure. For example, the desired exposure of an image with a subject standing in front of flat texture-less wall will most likely not be determined by the luminance values of the wall. The performance of the predictor 216 may therefore be improved by not using the wall pixels to compute the histogram described below. Although the factors that influence exposure are highly subjective, we have found that objects with little or no "activity" typically do not influence exposure. The non-linear luminance channel 226 may therefore first be screened for activity to produce an active image map 206 which identifies the locations of pixels in the non-linear luminance channel 226 that satisfy the activity threshold (step 104).

An active non-linear luminance image 209 may be extracted 207 from the non-linear luminance channel 226 based on the active image map 206. The active image 209 may also be referred to as the "active luminance
5 channel" in embodiments which operate only upon the non-linear luminance channel 226 of the input image 202. Techniques that may be used to measure activity are described in more detail in commonly-owned U.S. Patent No. 5,724,456 to Boyack et al., entitled "Brightness
10 Adjustment of Images Using Digital Scene Analysis," issued on March 3, 1998 and incorporated by reference herein.

The method 140 generates a histogram 244 (referred to as the "active histogram," or as the "active
15 luminance histogram" (ALH) in embodiments in which only the luminance channel is being used) based on the active image 209 (step 130). The active histogram 244 is one example of a feature whose value may be extracted in step 106.

20 Using the entire active histogram 244 as a feature, however, may be inadequate to deal with images that are shot outdoors with the subject against a brightly lit background or with images that are shot indoors using the camera flash. Such images are distinguished by the
25 fact that the exposures of the subject and background differ significantly from each other. If the subject occupies a small fraction of the image and there is sufficient activity in the background, an exposure predictor generated using the entire active histogram
30 244 will favor the background, thereby underexposing the

subject in the outdoor case and overexposing the subject in the indoor case.

To address this problem, we introduce the concept of a region of interest (ROI): an area of the reduced
5 image 204 that is most likely to determine the exposure of the original input image 202. The method 140 may identify a region of interest 242 using the linear luminance channel 224 and the active image map 206 (step 132). The method 140 may then generate a histogram 246
10 of the portion of the active image 209 that is within the identified region of interest 242 (step 134). Both the active histogram 244 and the ROI histogram 246 are examples of features that may be extracted in step 106.

The method 140 may also generate an average
15 histogram 248 by taking the weighted average of the ROI histogram 246 and the active histogram 244 (step 136). The average histogram is another example of a feature that may be extracted in step 106. Techniques for generating the average histogram 248 will be described
20 below with respect to FIG. 4.

Examples of techniques will now be described for using a variable-size rectangular window to search for and identify the ROI 242 of the thumbnail image 222 (step 132). In one embodiment of the present invention,
25 the ROI 242 is defined as a rectangular window that satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) the average activity within the ROI 242
(defined as the ratio of the number of
active pixels in the ROI to the total
30 number of pixels in the ROI) is above a

specified minimum activity threshold A_{MIN} ;
and

- (2) the absolute logarithm of the ratio of the average linear luminance within the ROI to the average linear luminance of the remaining image (i.e., the portion of the linearized luminance channel 224 not including the ROI 242) is the highest in the thumbnail image 222.

10 In this embodiment, the average luminance is computed over all the pixels in the linearized luminance channel 224 and not just the pixels in the active image 206.

15 Condition (1) ensures that the ROI 242 encompasses some interesting content of the thumbnail image 222. Condition (2) serves to identify the subject in in-door flash scenes and out-door backlit scenes. For scenes in which there are no significant differences in luminance between any one portion of the linearized luminance channel 224 as compared to the rest of the linearized luminance channel 224, the ROI 242 encompasses an arbitrary region of the luminance channel 226 satisfying both condition (1) and (2). However, in this case the ROI 242 will not have any significant contribution to the exposure of the final exposure-corrected image 214, a property that will become clear from the description below.

Referring to FIG. 3, a flowchart is shown of techniques that may be used to identify the region of interest 242 (step 132). First, the dimensions D_R (e.g.,

width and height) of the region of interest 242 are selected. The aspect ratio of the region of interest 242 may be the same as the aspect ratio of the thumbnail 222, and the base dimensions of the region of interest 242 may be equal to a fixed fraction of the dimensions of the thumbnail 222. For example, if D_I represents the dimensions of the thumbnail 222 (step 302) and F is a predetermined fractional multiplier (step 304), the base size B_R of the region of interest 242 may be set equal to $F \cdot D_I$ (step 306).

The actual dimensions D_R of the region of interest 242 scale linearly from the base dimensions B_R with the average activity of the non-linear luminance channel 226. In other words, if A_I is the average activity of the entire active image map 206 (step 308), then the dimensions D_R of the region of interest 242 are equal to $B_R \cdot A_I$ (step 310). Intuitively, an image with sparse activity will tend to have a small region of interest and vice versa. The scaling property represented by step 310 also helps the region of interest 242 to pass condition (1).

Having selected the dimensions D_R of the region of interest 242, a region that satisfies both conditions (1) and (2), stated above, may be selected as the region of interest 242. Referring again to FIG.3, the region of interest 242 may be selected as follows.

A variable LOG_{MAX} is initialized to zero and a variable r_{ROI} is initialized to one (step 312). The meaning of the values of LOG_{MAX} and r_{ROI} will become clear below. The method 132 sets the value of a variable

ROI_found to FALSE (step 313). As its name implies, *ROI_found* indicates whether the method 132 has yet found a region of interest.

The method 132 enters a loop over each candidate
5 region *C* in the thumbnail 222 (step 314). The average activity A_C of the region *C* is calculated (step 316). The method 132 determines whether $A_C \geq A_{MIN}$ (step 318), thereby determining whether condition (1) is satisfied. If $A_C < A_{MIN}$, the method 132 continues to the next region
10 (step 334).

If $A_C \geq A_{MIN}$, the method 132 calculates the average luminance L_C of region *C* of the linear luminance channel 224 (step 322) and the average linear luminance L_I of the remainder of the linear luminance channel 224 (i.e., of
15 the portion of the linear luminance channel 224 not including region *C*) (step 324). The ratio of L_C to L_I is assigned to the variable r_{ROI} , and the absolute logarithm of r_{ROI} (i.e., $|\log_2 L_C/L_I|$) is calculated and assigned to a variable named LOG_{CUR} (step 326).

20 The method 132 determines whether the value of LOG_{CUR} is greater than the value of LOG_{MAX} (step 328). In other words, the method 132 determines whether the absolute log of the ratio of the average luminance in region *C* to the average luminance of the remainder of
25 the linear luminance channel 224 is the highest encountered so far in the linear luminance channel 224. If LOG_{CUR} is greater than LOG_{MAX} , a variable *ROI* is assigned the value of *C* (step 330) and the variable LOG_{MAX} is assigned the value of LOG_{CUR} (step 332).
30 Because a region of interest has been found, the value

of *ROI_found* is set to TRUE (step 333). Steps 316-333 are repeated for the remaining regions *C* in the thumbnail 222 (step 334).

Upon completion of the loop, the method 132
5 determines whether the value of *ROI_found* is equal to TRUE (step 336). If it is, the method 132 terminates. Otherwise, a region of interest has not been found, and the method 132 sets the variable *ROI* to encompass the entire input image 202 (step 338).

10 Upon completion of the method 132, the value of the variable *ROI* identifies a region in the image 202 that satisfies both conditions (1) and (2), if such a region exists, and that may therefore be used as the region of interest 242.

15 Referring to FIG. 4, a flowchart is shown of a method that may be used to extract additional features from the active ROI histogram 246 and the active histogram 244. By way of background, associated with the region of interest 242 may be a likelihood number
20 that denotes the probability that the region of interest 242 influences the desired exposure of the image 202. Let r_{ROI} be the ratio of the average ROI linear luminance to the average linear luminance of the remaining image (i.e., L_C/L_I , where $C = ROI$). Then the likelihood
25 associated with the region of interest 242 is given by Equation 1 (FIG. 4, step 402):

$$p(ROI) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-s(|\log_2 r_{ROI}| - o))}$$

Equation 1

where s and o are adjustable parameters. The parameter o represents the luminance difference in stops between
 5 the region of interest 242 and the remaining image when the likelihood associated with the region of interest 242 is 0.5. The parameter s is proportional to the slope of the likelihood function at $|\log_2 r_{ROI}| = o$. Since $p(ROI) \rightarrow 1.0$ as $|\log_2 r_{ROI}| \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that a large
 10 difference between the average linear luminance of the region of interest 242 and the rest of the linear luminance channel 224 implies a higher likelihood of the region of interest 242 influencing the final exposure of the image 202 and vice versa. Techniques will now be
 15 described for determining how the ROI likelihood $p(ROI)$ weights the ROI contribution towards the final vector of feature values 208.

Let $H_{ROI}(\cdot)$ denote the active ROI luminance histogram 246 (FIG. 4, step 404). Let $H_l(\cdot)$ denote the active
 20 luminance histogram 244 (step 406). Then the overall average histogram $H(\cdot)$ 248 is given by Equation 2 (step 408):

$$H(\cdot) = (1 - p(ROI))H_l(\cdot) + p(ROI)H_{ROI}(\cdot)$$

25

Equation 2

The histogram $H(\cdot)$ 248 is an example of a feature that may be extracted in step 106. However, the

dimensionality of the feature space may be further reduced by extracting several linear and non-linear features from the histogram $H(\cdot)$ 248 (steps 410 and 412). Such features are examples of features that may be
 5 extracted in step 106. Examples of non-linear features that may be extracted include the different percentiles of the histogram $H(\cdot)$ 248. Examples of linear features that may be extracted include the different moments of the histogram $H(\cdot)$ 248.

10 As mentioned above, the predictor 216 may be generated (step 108) based on ground truth data 210 for the set of ground truth images 522. In one embodiment of the present invention, the predictor 216 is a linear predictor.

15 Let N be total number of feature values 208 and let f_i denote the i^{th} feature value in the feature values vector 208. Let x_i denote the coefficients 526 (FIG. 6) of the predictor 216. Then the exposure shift prediction $\Delta\hat{e}$ 212 may be generated in step 110 as shown
 20 in Equation 3:

$$\Delta\hat{e} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i f_i = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{f},$$

Equation 3

where \mathbf{f} is the feature value vector 208 and \mathbf{x} is the coefficient vector 526.

25 Referring to FIG. 5, a flowchart is shown of a method 500 for generating the predictor 216 (step 108) according to one embodiment of the present invention. Referring to FIG. 6, a dataflow diagram 520 is shown

illustrating the operations performed by the method 108 shown in FIG. 5. The method 108 obtains ground truth data 210 for all images in a set of ground truth images 522 (step 502). Examples of techniques for obtaining the ground truth data 210 will be described below with respect to FIG. 7B. The method 108 selects the optimal set of features 218 based on the ground truth data 210 (step 504). Examples of techniques for selecting the feature set 218 will be described below with respect to FIG. 9. The method 108 computes coefficients 526 for the predictor 216 based on the ground truth data 210 and the selected features 218 (step 506). Referring to FIG. 6, step 506 may be performed, for example, by extracting 532 a set of training features 534 from a set of training images 528 based on the selected features 218, and by generating the predictor coefficients (step 506) based on the ground truth data 210 and the training features 534. The training set 528 may be any set of images that is used to train the predictor 216 and is a subset of the ground truth set 522. The method 108 generates the predictor 216 based on the selected features 218 and the selected coefficients 526 (step 508).

The method 108 shown in FIG. 5 will now be described in more detail. Referring to FIG. 7A, a flowchart is shown of a method 700 that may be used to generate the ground truth data 210 (FIG. 5, step 502). Referring to FIG. 7B, a dataflow diagram 750 is shown which illustrates the operations performed by the method 700 shown in FIG. 7A.

The ground truth data 210 may be acquired by conducting a psychophysical scaling test in which human subjects are asked to determine the best exposure for each of the images 522a-d in the ground truth set 522.

5 Although only four images 522a-d are shown in FIG. 7B, in practice there may be a much larger number of ground truth images.

The method 700 may enter a loop over each image I in the ground truth set 210 (step 702). Referring to

10 FIG. 7C, a dataflow diagram 720 is shown illustrating, by way of example, the generation of ground truth data 736a for a single image 522a in the ground truth set 522. For each human subject S in a plurality of human subjects 722a-d (step 704), the method 700 receives an

15 indication from the subject of the desired exposure for image I (step 706). For example, referring to FIG. 10, a graph 1000 is shown of a family of exposure adjustment curves, the particular characteristics of which are described in more detail below. Each such curve may be

20 applied to an image to perform a particular exposure correction on the image.

In one embodiment of the present invention, each of the exposure adjustment curves shown in the graph 1000 is applied to the image I , and the resulting exposure

25 adjusted images are displayed to the subject S . Associated with each of the exposure adjustment curves is a single number Δe_i reflecting the particular exposure adjustment associated with the curve. The subject S selects a particular one of the exposure-adjusted images

30 that the subject believes has the best exposure among

all of the exposure adjusted images. The exposure correction Δe_i associated with the exposure adjustment curve corresponding to the image selected by the subject S is provided as the desired exposure indication in step 5 706.

For example, as shown in FIG. 7C, subject 722a indicates desired exposure 724a by selecting a particular one of the exposure-adjusted images as having the best exposure. The method 700 similarly receives 10 desired exposure indications from the remaining subjects (step 708). For example, as shown in FIG. 7C, subject 722b indicates desired exposure 724b, subject 722c indicates desired exposure 724c, and subject 722d indicates desired exposure 724d.

15 The method 700 averages all of the exposure indications received in the loop in steps 704-708 to produce a single exposure correction number Δe , referred to as the "ground truth data" for image I (step 710). For example, as shown in FIG. 7C, ground truth data 736a 20 is produced for image 522a. The inverse of the variance of the desired exposures 724a-d indicated by the subjects 722a-d may be used to weight the mean-square error in the design of the predictor 216. This allows the influence of any image in the determination of the 25 prediction weights to be reduced when the subjects differed significantly in their opinions regarding the best exposure of image I .

The method 700 generates ground truth data for the remaining images in the ground truth set 522 using the 30 same techniques (step 712). For example, as shown in

FIG. 7B, ground truth data 736b may be generated for training set image 522b, ground truth data 736c may be generated for ground truth set image 522c, and ground truth data 736d may be generated for ground truth set image 522d.

Before describing how feature selection (step 504) may be performed, techniques will be described for generating the predictor coefficients 526 given a particular set of features. For example, let e denote the column vector containing the ground truth data 210 for all of the images 522a-d in the ground truth set 522. Let the feature vectors of each of the images 522a-d of the ground truth set 522 form the rows of a matrix F . Then the predictor coefficients x 526 may be generated (step 506) using Equation 4:

$$x = (F^T F)^{-1} F^T e.$$

Equation 4

Alternatively, if W is a diagonal weight matrix computed using the inverse variance of the ground truth data 210, the coefficients x 526 may be generated (step 506) using Equation 5:

$$x = (F^T W F)^{-1} F^T W e.$$

Equation 5

In either case, once the number and type of features are determined (FIG. 9), the coefficients x 526 may be generated using the closed form expressions in Equation 4 or Equation 5. The remaining problem is to determine which features to select as the selected features 218.

Examples of techniques will now be described for determining which and how many features are optimal for inclusion in the set of selected features 218. In one embodiment of the present invention, the set of ground truth images 522 is divided into two subsets: a training set (such as training set 716 shown in FIG. 7D) and a test set (not shown). The training set is used to design a training predictor 726 (FIG. 7D). The test set is used to test the predictor 726 and to compute the prediction error.

In practice, the number of ground truth images in the ground truth set 522 is limited. Dividing the images in the ground truth set 522 into subsets further limits the number of design or test samples, thereby potentially reducing the quality of the predictor 216. Referring to FIG. 8, a flowchart is shown of a method 800 that may be used to address this problem.

In general, the method 800 uses a leave- n -out approach which cycles through the entire ground truth image set 522, n images at a time. In the particular method 800 shown in FIG. 8, $n=1$. In each cycle, only one image from the ground truth set 522 is chosen for the test set and the rest of the images from the ground truth set 522 are used to design the predictor 726. The prediction error is then computed on the single image in the test set. The entire procedure is repeated for the next image in the ground truth set 522 and so on. The advantage of this method is that all images but one are used to design the predictor 726 and all images are used to test the predictor 726. This minimizes the bias in

the design and test error of the predictor 726.

However, the downside of this procedure is that the design procedure has to be repeated as many times as the number of images in the ground truth set 522.

5 The procedure described generally above will now be described in more detail. Referring to FIG. 8, a loop is entered over each image *I* in the ground truth image set *G* 522 (step 802). The single image *I* is placed into the test set (step 804), and all of the ground truth
10 images 522a-d except for image *I* are placed into the training set (step 806).

Referring to FIG. 7D, a dataflow diagram 760 is shown which illustrates the calculation of a prediction error 766a by the method 800 based on the test set image
15 *I* 762 and the current training set 716. The method 800 generates training predictor coefficients 744 based on the training set (step 808) using, for example, Equation 4 or Equation 5. In particular, the method 800 may extract 718 features 728 from the training set 716 based
20 on a current set of features 734. The method 800 may generate training coefficients 744 based on ground truth data 770 for the training set 716 and the extracted training set features 728.

The method 800 generates a training predictor 726
25 based on the current set of feature identifiers 734 and the training predictor coefficients 744 (based on the structure of Equation 3) (step 810). The current set of features 734 may be selected as described below with respect to FIG. 9.

The method 800 extracts the current selected features 734 from the test set image I 762 to produce test set image features 746 (step 812). The method 800 uses the training predictor 726 to generate a predicted exposure shift 768 for the test set image 762 based on the extracted features 746 using Equation 3 (step 814). The method 800 calculates a prediction error E_I 766a for the test set image 762 by subtracting the predicted exposure shift 768 from the ground truth data 764 for the test set image I 762 (step 816). Prediction errors are generated in the same manner for the remaining images in the ground truth set G 522 (step 818).

Referring to FIG. 7E, for example, a dataflow diagram 754 is shown illustrating the generation of a plurality of prediction errors 766, one for each of the images 522a-d in the ground truth set 522. As each of the ground truth images 522a-d is used as the test set image 762, a corresponding prediction error is generated. For example, prediction error 766a is generated for image 522a, prediction error 766b is generated for image 522b, prediction error 766c is generated for image 522c, and prediction error 766d is generated for image 522d. Once prediction errors E_I 766a-d are generated for each image in the ground truth set 522, the root mean square (RMS) is taken of all of the prediction errors E_I 766a-d to produce an average prediction error E 758 for the ground truth set 522 (step 820). The average prediction error E 758 may be used to select an optimal number and combination of

features for use as the selected features 218, as will now be described in more detail.

Referring to FIG. 9, a flowchart is shown of a method 900 that may be used to select an optimal number and combination of features for use as the selected features 218. All available features are placed into a master feature set M (step 902). The master set of features may be selected in any manner. Examples of features that may be placed into the master feature set M include the ROI histogram 246, the active histogram 244, the average histogram 248, and linear and non-linear features extracted from the features just listed. A current feature set C is initialized to a null set (step 904), a global error value E_G is initialized to infinity (step 906), and a minimum error value E_{MIN} is initialized to infinity (step 908).

A loop is entered over each feature F in the master set M (step 910). A set S is formed by adding the feature F to the current feature set C (step 912). The method 800 shown in FIG. 8 is used to compute an average leave- n -out error E for the images 522a-d in the ground truth image set 522 using set S as the set of current selected features 734 (step 800).

If the average error E is less than the minimum error E_{MIN} (step 914), the minimum error E_{MIN} is assigned the value of E (step 916) and a variable F_{MIN} is assigned the value of F (the current feature) (step 918). The loop initiated in step 910 is repeated for each of the features F in the current set C (step 920).

Upon completion of the loop performed in steps 910-920, E_{MIN} contains the minimum leave- n -out prediction error obtained in any iteration of the loop, and F_{MIN} indicates the feature that resulted in the minimum error.

5 E_{MIN} . The method 900 determines whether the minimum error E_{MIN} is greater than the global error E_G (step 922). If $E_{MIN} > E_G$, the current feature set C is provided as the set of selected features 218. If $E_{MIN} \leq E_G$, then E_G is assigned the value of E_{MIN} (step 924), and the feature

10 F_{MIN} is added to the current feature set C and removed from the master set M (step 926).

The method 900 determines whether the master set M is empty (step 928). If the master set M is not empty, the procedure described above with respect to steps 910-

15 926 is performed again using the updated master set M and current set C . If the master set M is empty, the current feature set C is provided as the set of selected features 218.

In summary, the method 900 identifies the single

20 best feature that results in the minimum average prediction error in the first iteration of the loop initiated in step 910. In the second iteration of the loop, the method 900 identifies the next best feature that in combination with the first feature achieves the

25 minimum error. The method 900 continues in this fashion until the minimum average prediction error E_{MIN} eventually starts to increase with the addition of more features. At this point the method 900 terminates. The features that are in the current set C upon termination

of the method 900 represent a set of optimal features that may be provided as the selected features 218.

As described above with respect to FIG. 5 and FIG. 6, once the selected features 218 are selected (FIG. 9),
5 the predictor coefficients 526 may be generated based on the ground truth data 210 and feature identifiers 218 using, for example, Equation 4 or Equation 5 (step 506), and the predictor 216 may be generated based on the features 218 and the coefficients 526 using, for
10 example, the structure of Equation 3 (step 508).

Note that if the entire ground truth set 522 were used to design and test the predictor 216, the minimum error E_{MIN} would always decrease upon the addition of a new feature to the current set C . In fact, if there are
15 m images in the ground truth set 522, the minimum error E_{MIN} be made to be exactly zero by choosing m independent features. This follows from the fact that the column space of F spans the ground truth vector e . In such a case, the predictor that is generated may not be
20 optimal. Rather, the predictor that is generated may merely predict the m images in the ground truth set 522 perfectly, while the performance for other images may not be specified. In practice, a predictor designed in this fashion may perform poorly in the field because it
25 may not generalize its prediction well enough for images outside the ground truth set. By testing with a set that is independent of the training set, we ensure that only those features that generalize well for other images are included in the final feature set 218 and
30 features that just fit the noise are excluded.

Having described how to generate the predictor 216, techniques will now be disclosed for changing (correcting) the exposure of the image 202 to the desired value (step 112). An algorithm that causes an exposure change should not alter the color balance of the image in the process. This may be achieved by operating solely on the luminance channel of the image in a luminance/chrominance space. Alternatively, if the exposure correction algorithm operates in the RGB space, the same transformation should be applied to all of the channels so as not to alter the color balance of the image. Techniques using the latter approach will now be described because it is desirable to transform the image such that at least one of its channels occupies the entire gray scale, and it is particularly easy to do this in the RGB space.

Once the predictor 216 is generated, the predicted exposure offset 212 for the image 202 may be generated based on the extracted feature values 208 using Equation 3 (step 110). Referring to FIG. 11, a flowchart is shown of a method for applying the exposure offset 212 to the input image 202 to produce the exposure-corrected image 214 (step 112) according to one embodiment of the present invention. The method 112 transforms the input image 202 from RGB space back to intensities in the original scene (i.e., the world intensity space) (step 1102). The method 112 performs exposure correction on the transformed image (step 1104). The method 1100 transforms the exposure-corrected image back from world

intensity space to RGB space to produce the exposure-corrected image 214 (step 1106).

In one embodiment of the present invention, the forward transformation from the world log intensity space to the RGB space (step 1106) is modeled by an S-shaped curve that serves to compress the tones in the highlight and the shadow regions. This is followed by a gamma function designed to model the inverse response of a typical monitor. The combination of the S-shaped tone reproduction curve and gamma forms a complete forward transformation represented herein as $T(\cdot)$.

Let i denote the world log intensity. Then $T(i)$ is defined by Equation 6:

$$T(i) = (A + B \tanh(-s(i + o)))^{\gamma},$$

15

Equation 6

where A , B , s and o are parameters of the S-shaped tone reproduction curve and γ is the monitor gamma. It should be appreciated that the parameters s and o in Equation 6 are not the same as the parameters s and o in Equation 1.

20

The reverse transformation from RGB space to log world intensity space (step 1102) for a particular gray level g in RGB space may therefore be represented as $T^{-1}(g)$. The exposure correction of gray level g by a desired exposure offset Δe (measured in stops) in world intensity space (steps 1102 and 1104) may therefore be represented by $T^{-1}(g) + \Delta e$. The complete exposure correction of an RGB-space gray level g , including

25

--

reverse and forward transformations, performed by the exposure correction method 1100 illustrated in FIG. 11, may therefore be represented by Equation 7:

$$g' = T(T^{-1}(g) + \Delta e),$$

5

Equation 7

where g' is the exposure-corrected gray level in RGB space. The graph 1000 in FIG. 10 illustrates a family of curves, each of which corresponds to a different value of Δe .

10 Once the predicted exposure offset Δe 212 is generated, the result of Equation 7 may be calculated for all gray levels, and pairs of gray levels and corresponding corrected gray levels may be stored in a lookup table (LUT). Exposure correction may thereafter
15 be performed on each channel of an image using the lookup table rather than by calculating the results of Equation 7 for each pixel or gray level in the image, thereby significantly increasing the speed with which exposure correction may be performed.

20 One advantage of the techniques just described is that they perform exposure correction based on a model that models a mapping from world intensity space to the intensity space (e.g., RGB space) of the captured image 202. As described above, the model includes a gamma
25 function that models the response of a typical monitor and an S-shaped curve that compresses the large dynamic range of the world to the small dynamic range of the image capture (e.g., RGB) space. Using such a model enables the exposure of the image 202 to be corrected by

employing the inverse of the model to transform the image to logarithmic intensities in the world, adding or subtracting an offset (given by the desired exposure correction) from the image, and then mapping the image
5 back to the RGB digit space using the above model. One advantage of using such a model is that it enables exposure corrections to be applied in the world intensity space, where such corrections are more likely to have their intended effect across the full range of
10 intensities, assuming that the model reasonably reflects the transfer function that was used to capture the image 202.

Embodiments of the present invention may be integrated with the color mapping process that is
15 typically performed on digital images when output to a rendering device such as a printer. For example, referring to FIG. 12, a flowchart is shown of a method 1200 in which color mapping and exposure correction are integrated according to one embodiment of the present
20 invention. The method 1200 receives an image from a source such as a digital camera (step 1202) and performs JPEG decompression on the image (step 1204). The method 1200 reduces the image using the techniques described above with respect to FIGS. 1B and 2B (step 102). The
25 method 1200 then performs automatic color balancing and automatic exposure correction on the image using an integrated process. Color balancing, for example, is often performed in the RGB space using three one-dimensional lookup tables. Such lookup tables may be
30 combined with the exposure correction lookup tables

described above to generate three one-dimensional lookup tables that perform both color balancing and exposure correction with a single set of one-dimensional lookups.

For example, exposure correction estimation may be performed (step 1218) using the techniques disclosed herein to generate three one-dimensional exposure correction lookup tables (step 1219). Three one-dimensional color-balancing lookup tables may also be computed (step 1220) and combined with the exposure correction lookup tables generated in step 1219 (step 1222). The method 1200 may perform any of a variety of image processing steps on the decompressed image, such as rotating the image (step 1206) and sharpening the image (step 1208). These particular image processing steps are shown merely for purposes of example and do not constitute limitations of the present invention.

The method 1200 performs color mapping on the image (step 1210). Color mapping often involves several operations, including a one-dimensional pre-lookup table, a three-dimensional matrix or three-dimensional lookup, and a one-dimensional post-lookup table. Exposure correction may be integrated into the one-dimensional pre-lookup table operation of color mapping using the single set of three one-dimensional lookup tables (generated in step 1222) that perform the combined function of exposure correction, color balance, and the one-dimensional pre-lookup table portion of color mapping..

The method prepares the image for printing (or other output) by upsizing the image (step 1214). The

method 1200 then prints the image (step 1216). It should be appreciated that various steps in the method 1200 (such as steps 1204, 1206, 1208, 1214, and 1216) are provided merely as examples of steps that may be performed in conjunction with processing of the input image 202 and do not constitute limitations of the present invention.

One advantage of the techniques disclosed herein is that they may operate in the RGB space, thereby making them susceptible to being integrated with color mapping as just described. Integrating exposure correction with color mapping reduces the number of steps that are required to optimize an image for printing and may therefore make it possible to perform such processing more quickly than other methods which correct image exposure in a luminance-chrominance space or other non-linear space.

It is to be understood that although the invention has been described above in terms of particular embodiments, the foregoing embodiments are provided as illustrative only, and do not limit or define the scope of the invention. Various other embodiments, including but not limited to the following, are also within the scope of the claims.

Elements and components described herein may be further divided into additional components or joined together to form fewer components for performing the same functions.

The techniques described above may be implemented, for example, in hardware, software, firmware, or any

combination thereof. The techniques described above may be implemented in one or more computer programs executing on a programmable computer including a processor, a storage medium readable by the processor
5 (including, for example, volatile and non-volatile memory and/or storage elements), at least one input device, and at least one output device. Program code may be applied to input entered using the input device to perform the functions described and to generate
10 output. The output may be provided to one or more output devices.

Each computer program within the scope of the claims below may be implemented in any programming language, such as assembly language, machine language, a
15 high-level procedural programming language, or an object-oriented programming language. The programming language may, for example, be a compiled or interpreted programming language.

Each such computer program may be implemented in a
20 computer program product tangibly embodied in a machine-readable storage device for execution by a computer processor. Method steps of the invention may be performed by a computer processor executing a program tangibly embodied on a computer-readable medium to
25 perform functions of the invention by operating on input and generating output. Suitable processors include, by way of example, both general and special purpose microprocessors. Generally, the processor receives instructions and data from a read-only memory and/or a
30 random access memory. Storage devices suitable for

tangibly embodying computer program instructions include, for example, all forms of non-volatile memory, such as semiconductor memory devices, including EPROM, EEPROM, and flash memory devices; magnetic disks such as
5 internal hard disks and removable disks; magneto-optical disks; and CD-ROMs. Any of the foregoing may be supplemented by, or incorporated in, specially-designed ASICs (application-specific integrated circuits). A computer can generally also receive programs and data
10 from a storage medium such as an internal disk (not shown) or a removable disk. These elements will also be found in a conventional desktop or workstation computer as well as other computers suitable for executing computer programs implementing the methods described
15 herein, which may be used in conjunction with any digital print engine or marking engine, display monitor, or other raster output device capable of producing color or gray scale pixels on paper, film, display screen, or other output medium.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for correcting the exposure of a source image, the method comprising steps of:

- 5 (A) transforming the source image from an image capture space into a nonlinear intensity space to produce a first transformed image;
- (B) correcting the exposure of the transformed image in the nonlinear intensity space to produce a corrected transformed image; and
- 10 (C) transforming the corrected transformed image back into the image capture space to produce a second transformed image.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the step (C) comprises steps of:

- (C) (1) transforming the corrected transformed image into a third transformed image using an S-shaped curve; and
- 5 (C) (2) transforming the third transformed image into the second transformed image using a gamma function.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein i represents an intensity in the nonlinear intensity space, and wherein the step (C) comprises a step of transforming the corrected transformed image into the second transformed

5 image using the formula:

$$T(i) = (A + B \tanh(-s(i + o)))^{1/\gamma}$$

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the following function transforms intensities i in the nonlinear intensity space into gray levels in the image capture space:

$$5 \quad T(i) = (A + B \tanh(-s(i + o)))^{1/r},$$

and wherein the step (A) comprises a step of transforming gray levels g in the source image by applying the function $T^{-1}(\cdot)$ to the gray levels to produce transformed intensities.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the step (B) comprises a step of adding an exposure offset Δe to the transformed intensities to produce shifted transformed intensities.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the steps (A)-(C) are performed using at least one lookup table which maps intensities in the image capture space to transformed intensities in the image capture space according to steps (A)-(C).

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the at least one lookup table further performs color mapping on the source image.

8. An apparatus for correcting the exposure of a source image, the apparatus comprising:

first transformation means for transforming the source image from an image capture space into a nonlinear intensity space to produce a first transformed image;

correction means for correcting the exposure of the transformed image in the nonlinear intensity space to produce a corrected transformed image; and
 10 second transformation means for transforming the corrected transformed image into the image capture space to produce a second transformed image.

9. The apparatus of claim 8, wherein the second transformation means comprises:

means for transforming the corrected transformed image into a third transformed image using an S-shaped
 5 curve; and

means for transforming the third transformed image into the second transformed image using a gamma function.

10. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein i represents an intensity in the nonlinear intensity space, and wherein the second transformation means comprises means for transforming the corrected transformed image into
 5 the second transformed image using the formula:

$$T(i) = (A + B \tanh(-s(i + o)))^{1/r}$$

11. The apparatus of claim 8, wherein the following function transforms intensities i in the nonlinear intensity space into gray levels in the image capture space:

5
$$T(i) = (A + B \tanh(-s(i + o)))^{1/r},$$

and wherein the first transformation means comprises means for transforming gray levels g in the

source image by applying the function $T^{-1}(g)$ to the gray levels to produce transformed intensities.

12. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the correction means comprises means for adding an exposure offset Δe to the transformed intensities to produce shifted transformed intensities.

13. The apparatus of claim 8, wherein the first transformation means, the correction means, and the second transformation means are implemented in at least one lookup table which maps intensities in the image capture space to transformed intensities in the image capture space.

14. The apparatus of claim 13, wherein the at least one lookup table further performs color mapping on the source image.

15. A method for processing an image, the method comprising steps of:

- (A) extracting from the image values of at least one feature selected from a set of features, the set of features comprising features derived from: a thumbnail of the image, a luminance channel of the image, an active region of interest in the image, and a subset of the image including a plurality of pixels satisfying an activity threshold;
- (B) predicting a desired exposure correction of the image based on the extracted feature values; and

15 (C) correcting the exposure of the image by the
predicted exposure correction to produce an
exposure-corrected image.

16. The method of claim 15, wherein the region of
interest has the following properties: (1) the average
activity within the region is above a predetermined
minimum activity threshold; and (2) the absolute
5 logarithm of the ratio of the average luminance of the
region to the average luminance of that portion of the
image not including the region is the highest such
absolute logarithm for a predetermined plurality of
regions in the image.

17. The method of claim 15, wherein the region of
interest has a base size that is proportional to the
dimensions of the image, and wherein the dimensions of
the region of interest are proportional to the base size
5 multiplied by a measure of average activity in the
image.

18. The method of claim 15, wherein the step (A)
comprises steps of:

- (A) (1) identifying the active region of interest
in the image;
- 5 (A) (2) screening the image for activity to
generate an active image;
- (A) (3) generating an average histogram as a
weighted average of a histogram of the
active region of interest and a histogram
10 of the active image; and
- (A) (4) extracting values of features from the
average histogram.

19. The method of claim 18, wherein the step (A) (3) comprises steps of:

- (A) (3) (a) calculating a likelihood that the region of interest influences the desired exposure shift of the image; and
- 5 (A) (3) (b) generating the average histogram as a average of the histogram of the active region of interest and a histogram of the active image, weighted by the likelihood.

20. The method of claim 19, wherein the step (A) (3) (a) comprises a step of calculating the likelihood using the formula:

$$p(ROI) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-s(|\log_2 r_{ROI}| - o))},$$

5

Equation 8

, wherein o represents the luminance difference in stops between the region of interest and that portion of the image not including the region of interest when the likelihood is equal to 0.5, wherein s is proportional to

10 the slope of the formula at $|\log_2 r_{ROI}| = o$, and wherein r_{ROI} is the ratio of the average linear luminance in the region of interest to the average linear luminance of that portion of the image not including the region of interest.

21. The method of claim 18, wherein the step (A) (4) comprises a step of extracting values of linear features from the average histogram.

22. The method of claim 21, wherein the step (A) (4) comprises a step of extracting at least one moment from the average histogram.

23. The method of claim 18, wherein the step (A) (4) comprises a step of extracting values of nonlinear features from the average histogram.

24. The method of claim 23, wherein the step (A) (4) comprises a step of extracting at least one percentile from the average histogram.

25. An apparatus for processing an image, the apparatus comprising:

extraction means for extracting from the image values of at least one feature selected from a set of
5 features, the set of features comprising features derived from: a thumbnail of the image, a luminance channel of the image, an active region of interest in the image, a subset of the image including a plurality of pixels satisfying an activity threshold;

10 prediction means for predicting a desired exposure correction of the image based on the extracted feature values; and

correction means correcting the exposure of the image by the predicted exposure correction to produce an
15 exposure-corrected image.

26. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the region of interest has the following properties: (1) the average activity within the region is above a predetermined minimum activity threshold; and (2) the
5 absolute logarithm of the ratio of the average luminance of the region to the average luminance of that portion

of the image not including the region is the highest such absolute logarithm for a predetermined plurality of regions in the image.

27. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the region of interest has a base size that is proportional to the dimensions of the image, and wherein the dimensions of the region of interest are proportional to the base size multiplied by a measure of average activity in the image.

28. The apparatus of claim 25, wherein the extraction means comprises:

means for identifying the region of interest in the image;

5 means for screening the image for activity to generate an active image;

means for generating an average histogram as a weighted average of a histogram of the active region of interest and a histogram of the active image; and

10 means for extracting values of features from the average histogram.

29. The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the means for generating comprises:

means for calculating a likelihood that the region of interest influences the desired exposure shift of the image; and

5 means for generating the average histogram as a average of the histogram of the active region of interest and a histogram of the active, weighted by the likelihood.

30. The apparatus of claim 29, wherein the means for calculating comprises means for calculating the likelihood using the formula:

$$p(ROI) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-s(\log_2 r_{ROI} - o))},$$

5

Equation 9

, wherein o represents the luminance difference in stops between the region of interest and that portion of the image not including the region of interest when the likelihood is equal to 0.5, wherein s is proportional to
 10 the slope of the formula at $|\log_2 r_{ROI} - o| = 0$, and wherein r_{ROI} is the ratio of the average linear luminance in the region of interest to the average linear luminance of that portion of the image not including the region of interest.

31. The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the means for generating the average histogram comprises means for extracting values of linear features from the average histogram.

32. The apparatus of claim 31, wherein the means for generating the average histogram comprises means for extracting at least one moment from the average histogram.

33. The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the means for generating the average histogram comprises means for extracting values of nonlinear features from the average histogram.

34. The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the means for generating the average histogram comprises means for extracting at least one percentile from the average histogram.

35. A method for selecting a set of features for use in a system for adjusting the exposure of images, the method comprising steps of:

- (A) placing a set of features in a master feature set M ;
- (B) initializing a current feature set C to a null value;
- (C) initializing a current feature set C to a null value;
 - (1) placing the union of the current feature set C and the feature F in a temporary feature set S ;
 - (2) computing a leave- n -out error E for a plurality of images using set S as a feature set;
 - (3) if the error E is less than a minimum error E_{MIN} , assigning the value of E to E_{MIN} and recording the identity of feature F in a variable F_{MIN} ;
- (D) if E_{MIN} is less than a global error E_G , assigning the value of E_{MIN} to E_G , adding the feature F recorded in F_{MIN} to the set C , and deleting the feature F recorded in F_{MIN} from the set M ;
- (E) if the set M is not empty, returning to step (C);

(F) if the set M is empty or the value of E_{MIN} is greater than the value of E_G , selecting the set C as the set of features for use in the system for adjusting the exposure of images.

36. An apparatus for selecting a set of features for use in a system for adjusting the exposure of images, the apparatus comprising:

means for placing a set of features in a master feature set M ;
means for initializing a current feature set C to a null value;

iteration means comprising, for each feature F in the master set M :

means for placing the union of the current feature set C and the feature F in a temporary feature set S ;

means for computing a leave- n -out error E for a plurality of images using set S as a feature set;

means for assigning the value of E to E_{MIN} and recording the identity of feature F in a variable F_{MIN} if the error E is less than a minimum error E_{MIN} ;

means for assigning the value of E_{MIN} to E_G , adding the feature F recorded in F_{MIN} to the set C , and deleting the feature F recorded in F_{MIN} from the set M if E_{MIN} is less than a global error E_G ,

means for activating the iteration means if the set M is not empty; and

means for selecting the set C as the set of features for use in the system for adjusting the

exposure of images if the set M is empty or the value of E_{MIN} is greater than the value of E_G .






FIG. 1A

**FIG. 1B**

**FIG. 1C**





FIG. 2A

220

**FIG. 2B**




FIG. 2C




FIG. 3









FIG. 4

**FIG. 5**

**FIG. 6**

**FIG. 7A**

**FIG. 7B**

**FIG. 7C**




FIG. 7D




FIG. 7E




FIG. 8




FIG. 9





FIG. 10

**FIG. 11**




FIG. 12

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
10 September 2004 (10.09.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2004/077816 A3

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: H04N 1/407, 1/60

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/US2004/004964

(22) International Filing Date: 19 February 2004 (19.02.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10/375,440 27 February 2003 (27.02.2003) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **PO-LAROID CORPORATION** [US/US]; 1265 Main Street, Waltham, MA 02451 (US).

(72) Inventors: **SAQUIB, Suhail, S.**; 31 Trowbridge Lane, Shrewsbury, MA 01545 (US). **THORNTON, Jay, E.**; 56 Lincoln Street, Watertown, MA 02472 (US).

(74) Agent: **MACCARONE, Gaetano, D.**; Polaroid Corporation, 1265 Main Street, Waltham, MA 02451 (US).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM,

AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:
— with international search report

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:
24 March 2005

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: DIGITAL IMAGE EXPOSURE CORRECTION



(57) Abstract: Techniques are disclosed for correcting the exposure of a digital image. An exposure predictor may be generated based on a set of images for which ground truth data are known. After identifying an optimal set of features, the exposure of the digital image may be corrected by extracting values of the selected optimal features from the image, using the predictor to predict a desired exposure correction for the image, and correcting the exposure of the image by the predicted desired amount. Exposure correction is based on a model that relates intensity of light in the world to the RGB digits of the digital image. The model comprises a gamma function that models the response of a typical monitor and a S-shaped curve that compresses the large dynamic range of the world to the small dynamic range of the RGB digit space.

WO 2004/077816 A3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PC1, 002004/004964

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 H04N1/407 H04N1/60

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 1 056 272 A (EASTMAN KODAK CO) 29 November 2000 (2000-11-29) abstract - paragraph '0001! - paragraph '0005! paragraph '0027! - paragraph '0031! figure 8	1-14
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2000, no. 09, 13 October 2000 (2000-10-13) -& JP 2000 184270 A (RICOH CO LTD), 30 June 2000 (2000-06-30) abstract	15, 25
A	----- -/--	16, 17

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

8 October 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

27. Okt. 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Roche, N

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter al Application No
PC1/US2004/004964

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2003, no. 05, 12 May 2003 (2003-05-12) -& JP 2003 008986 A (CASIO COMPUT CO LTD), 10 January 2003 (2003-01-10) abstract	15,18, 21-25, 28,31-34
X	----- J. WESTON, R. HERBRICH: "Adaptive Margin Support Vector Machines" ADVANCES IN LARGE MARGIN CLASSIFIERS, 2000, pages 281-296, XP002299707 MIT PRESS Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://stat.cs.tu-berlin.de/{ralfh/pub -article.html> abstract	35,36
A	----- BHUKHANWALA S A ET AL: "AUTOMATED GLOBAL ENHANCEMENT OF DIGITIZED PHOTOGRAPHS" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CONSUMER ELECTRONICS, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 40, no. 1, 1 February 1994 (1994-02-01), pages 1-10, XP000441777 ISSN: 0098-3063 abstract page 2, column 1, line 44 - line 54 page 4, column 2, line 39 - page 5, column 1, line 18 figures 1,3	1,2,8,9
A	----- WO 00/04492 A (IMATION CORP) 27 January 2000 (2000-01-27) abstract page 1, line 25 - page 2, line 11 page 8, line 1 - line 8 page 9, line 24 - line 27 page 11, line 18 - line 20 page 12, line 28 - line 30 page 13, line 22 - line 27 page 14, line 7 - page 15, line 23 page 16, line 14 - page 17, line 19 claims 1,2,8 figure 4	1,2,6-9, 13,14
A	----- KR 2001 037 684 A (SAMSUNG TECHWIN CO LTD) 15 May 2001 (2001-05-15) abstract	18, 21-24, 28,31,34
X	----- EP 0 762 736 A (HEWLETT PACKARD CO) 12 March 1997 (1997-03-12) abstract	15,25
	----- -/--	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2004/004964

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>KEARNS M ET AL: "Algorithmic stability and sanity-check bounds for leave-one-out cross-validation"</p> <p>PROCEEDINGS OF THE TENTH ANNUAL CONFERENCE ON COMPUTATIONAL LEARNING THEORY ACM NEW YORK, NY, USA, 1997, pages 152-162, XP002299710</p> <p>ISBN: 0-89791-891-6</p> <p>abstract</p> <p>-& M. KEARNS, D. RON: "Algorithmic stability and sanity-check bounds for leave-one-out cross-validation"</p> <p>PROCEEDINGS OF THE TENTH ANNUAL CONFERENCE ON COMPUTATIONAL LEARNING THEORY, 1997, pages 152-162, XP002299710</p> <p>ACM PRESS</p> <p>abstract</p>	35, 36

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US2004/004964

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows:

see additional sheet

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☒ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. PCT/US2004 /004964

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-14

Claim 1:

A method for correcting the exposure of a source image, the method comprising the steps of :

(A) transforming the source image from an image capture space into a nonlinear intensity space to produce a first transformed image.

(B) correcting the exposure of the tranformed image in the non linear intensity space to produce a corrected transformed image; and

(C) transforming the corrected transformed image back into the image capture space to produce a second transformed image

Claim 8:

Corresponding apparatus claim

2. claims: 15-34

Claim 15:

A method for processing an image, the method comprising the steps of :

(A) extracting from the image values of at least one feature selected from a set of features, the set of features comprising features derived from: a thumbnail of the image, a luminance channel of the image, active region of interest in the image, and a subset of the image including a plurality of pixels satifying an activity threshold;

(B) predicting a desired exposure correction of the image based on the extracted feature values; and

(C) correcting the exosure of the image by the predicted exposure correction to produce an exposure-corrected image.

Claim 25:

Corresponding apparatus claim.

3. claims: 35, 36

Claim 35:

A method for selecting a set of features for use in a system for adjusting the exposure of images.

Claim 36:

Corresponding apparatus claim.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/JP2004/004964

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 1056272	A	29-11-2000	US 6781713 B1 EP 1056272 A1 JP 2000358162 A	24-08-2004 29-11-2000 26-12-2000
JP 2000184270	A	30-06-2000	NONE	
JP 2003008986	A	10-01-2003	NONE	
WO 0004492	A	27-01-2000	DE 69913534 D1 DE 69913534 T2 EP 1097431 A1 JP 2002520968 T WO 0004492 A2 US 6462835 B1	22-01-2004 30-09-2004 09-05-2001 09-07-2002 27-01-2000 08-10-2002
KR 2001037684	A	15-05-2001	NONE	
EP 0762736	A	12-03-1997	US 5812286 A DE 69624647 D1 DE 69624647 T2 EP 0762736 A2 JP 9121361 A	22-09-1998 12-12-2002 21-08-2003 12-03-1997 06-05-1997